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## Screw Connections

$\square$ Four parameters are needed to describe a screw connection between two links.
$\square$ Any revolute or prismatic connection is a limiting case of a screw connection.
$\square$ A hinge is a screw with zero pitch
$\square$ A prismatic (sliding) joint is a screw with infinite pitch

- A ball joint can be modeled as 3 intersecting revolutes.


## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

A mechanism can be viewed as a sequence of connections.
$\square$ Each connection consists of a pair and is characterized by a pair variable.
$\square$ There are six lower pairs (with area contact)-

- Revolute

R
[ Prismatic
$\square$ Screw
$\square$ Cylindric

- Spheric
$\square$ Planar
P

C
$S_{L}$ (where $L$ is the lead of the screw)

G (For globular)
F (For flati)

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

$\square$ As limiting cases of the screw pair we can consider revolutes and prismatic joints as special cases:
$\square$ Screw $S_{L}$ (where $L$ is the lead of the screw)
Revolute $R=S_{0}$ (A screw with zero lead)
$\square$ Prismatic $P=S_{\infty}$ (A screw with infinite lead)

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

We can write the two halves of a pair as
$\square R^{+}$and $R$
$\square S_{L^{+}}$and $S_{L}$
$\square \mathrm{P}^{+}$and $\mathrm{P}^{-}$
and so forth.
[ Lower pairs are invertible so it doesn't really matter which half is the plus or minus half.

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

I Relative motion between pair elements describes the relative motion between the links carrying the elements.
That relative motion is described by the pair variables.
$\square$ These are variables such as $\square$ for rotation and s for translation.

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

$\square$ We can give a symbolic description to a simple closed kinematic chain like a four-bar

as $R_{1} R_{2} R_{3} R_{4}$ or $R_{1} R_{4} R_{3} R_{2}$ and so forth.

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

$\square$ A compound closed kinematic chain like the sixbar below could be described symbolically by giving two independent loops that include all the pairs. For instance,

as $R_{6} R_{3} R_{1} R_{5} \quad$ \& $R_{1} R_{2} R_{7} R_{4} R_{3}$ for example.

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

These are both examples of closed kinematic chains.


$\square$ A typical robot would be an example of an open kinematic chain.

## Symbolic Representation of Mechanisms

I In a simple closed kinematic chain each link connects to two and only two other links.
In a compound closed chain some links hook to more than two others.


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ Relative positions of the successive pair axes on a link can be described by use of the unique common perpendicular between the pair axes.


## Description of a Simple Chain

Coordinate systems are fixed in each joint using a simple convention.
$\square$ The $z$ axes are chosen to define the orientations of the revolute, screw, or prismatic pairs


## Description of a Simple Chain

T. The $x$ axis at a joint is chosen to lie along the common perpendicular from a point $H$ on the previous $z$ axis to the current one on the link.
] The length of that common perpendicular from $z_{k}$ to $z_{k+1}$ is called $a_{k}$


## Description of a Simple Chain

[] A general link has a "Dual Angle" $\square\left(a_{1}, \square_{1}\right)$ between the vectors $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.
(] By that I mean that there could be both an offiset $a_{1}$ and a twist angle $\square_{1}$ (measured about the $x$ axis in a plane perpendicular to the common normal).
$\square$ (Because of the right-hand rule the angle $\square_{1}$ shown is negative.)


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ Finally, the $y$ axis is
chosen so as to give a right-handed rectangular coordinate system.


## Description of a Simple Chain

] Here is what the situation looks like so far for two typical links of a spatial chain.
$\square$ To clarify the numbering conventions used, they were chosen as links \#1 and \#2.


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ The offset along the $z_{1}$ axis between the origin $\mathrm{o}_{1}$ and the point $H_{1}$ is called $\mathrm{s}_{1}$.


## Description of a Simple Chain

F Finally, the
rotation angle between the $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ axes (and measured about the $z_{1}$ axis) is called $\square_{1}$.


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ Thus the $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ axes subtend a second "dual angle" $\square\left(s_{1}, \square_{1}\right)$ between them.


## Description of a Simple Chain

I In this way, a rectangular cartesian coordinate system is uniquely specified in each link.


## Description of a Simple Chain

[. The relative positions of
successive links is expressed in terms of the four parameters of the two dual angles $\square\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}, \square_{1}\right) ; \square\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \square_{1}\right)$
[] These uniquely define the relative positions of
successive systems


## Description of a Simple Chain

7) For generality, we can assume the joints are all made up of screw pairs, (shown
symbolicaly as $S_{L k)}$


## Description of a Simple Chain

4. In a screw the parameters $\square_{k}$ and $s_{k}$ would be related by the lead of the screw.
$\frac{\square \square_{k}}{2 \square}=\frac{\square s_{k}}{L_{k}}$


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ For a revolute pair ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$ ) only the parameter $\square_{k}$ varies.


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ For a prismatic
pair ( $L_{k}=\infty$ )
only the
parameter $s_{k}$
varies.


## Description of a Simple Chain

$\square$ Thus we can write a general equation for a simple closed chain of screws in the following symbolic form:

$\square$ Here, the identity symbol I is used to indicate that when you work your way around the closed chain you get back to the start.
[ Later, when this is expressed with matrices, it will have the same function mathematically.

## A brief digression...

$\square$ How many degrees-offfreedom does a four-link four-revolute linkage have?
$\square$ If you think of it as a planar four-bar you automatically think "One degree-offreedom".


## A brief digression...

$\square$ Using the basic planar D.O.E. formula we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { D.O.F. } & =3(n \square 1) \square 2 j \\
& =3(4 \square 1) \square 2 * 4 \\
& =+1
\end{aligned}
$$



## A brief digression...

IWhat if we think of these links as being general, spatial links connected by revolutes?
$\square$ In space, a revolute joint removes five relative degrees-of-freedom and leaves only one.


## A brief digression...

So if we have four bodies connected by revolutes the degrees-of-freedom should be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { D.O.F. } & =6(n \square 1) \square 5 R \\
& =6(4 \square 1) \square 5 * 4 \\
& =18 \square 20 \\
& =\square 2
\end{aligned}
$$

IIn other words, a general
 spatial four-bar is massively over-constrained!

## A brief digression...

$\square$ It turns out that there are only three four revolute linkages that exist and can move with one degree of freedom. These are
The planar four-revolute mechanism (commonly known as "the four-bar")
[ The spherical four-revolute mechanism
$\square$ The Bennett mechanism

## A brief digression...

$\square$ Planar four-bars are unique in that all four revolute axes are parallel to one another and perpendicular to the plane of motion.
$\square$ The axes all intersect at infinity.
$\square$ That is why the mechanisms work even though the formula shows them having minus two degrees of freedom!

## A brief digression...

Spherical four-bars are also uniquely proportioned.
$\square$ All four revolute axes intersect at a common point.
They have a lot in common with their planar cousins.
[ They are just mapped onto a sphere
[] That is why they also work even though the formula shows them having minus two degrees of freedom!

## A brief digression...

[] Here's what a fairly general spherical fourbar looks like:


## A brief digression...

[ Here's what the most common specialcase spherical four-bar looks like:


## A brief digression...

T- This is the "Hooke" or "Cardan" universal joint


## A brief digression...

I A Hooke joint is a special case four-revolute spherical linkage with all four of it's spherical angles equal to $\overline{/} / 2$


## A brief digression...

T. The Bennett mechanism is a singularly useless special case spherical mechanism.


## A brief digression...

[. It's opposite sides are equal in length and the twists of opposite links are the same.
$\square$ Thus, it has a lot in common with a planar parallelogram linkage.


## A brief digression...

[] The Bennett mechanism has one interesting virtuenamely it has no dead center positions.
$\square$ When all the $x$ axes are collinear, the output torque is produced by bending and torsion stresses in the connecting rod and frame


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ Now that you know a little bit about spatial four-revolute linkages, let's see how we can analyze them using the HartenbergDenavit method.

## Symbolic Representation Example

[Here's a planar four-bar for example. $\square$ The $z$ axes are all oriented with the same sense.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ Successive common perpendiculars form the four $x$ axes.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ They axes aren't shown but would complete the four right-handed coordinate systems.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ Note that the $x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ system is fixed in link 1 , the $x_{2} y_{2} z_{2}$ system is fixed in link 2 , and so on.


## Symbolic Representation Example

[ Here's the symbolic equation for this planar four-bar:


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ Comparing it with the general form we see that the four pairs are the four revolutes $R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}$ and $R_{1}$.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The link lengths are the parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, and $a_{4}$ and are the distances between the $z$ axes measured along the common perpendiculars.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The angles $\square$ are all zero since the axes are all parallel.


## Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The angles $\square_{1}, \square_{2}, \square_{3}$, and $\Pi_{4}$ are the pair variables of the revolute joints.


## Symbolic Representation Example

The s distances are also all zero, since the successive $x$ axes were chosen so as to intersect.


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

[Let's now look at a spherical four-revolute mechanism:



## Another Symbolic Representation Example

II In this case, all the $z$ axes intersect.
$\square$ For this reason, all the a and s parameters are zero.


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The angles $\square$ define the link dimensions.


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The angles $\square$ are the pair variables of the revolutes.


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The Hooke joint is a special case of this with $\square_{2}=\square_{3}=\square_{4}=90^{\circ}$


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

$\square$ The symbolic equation for the Hooke universal joint is:


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

[ The Bennett mechanism has opposite links with equal twists ( $\square$ and $\square$ ) and equal lengths (a and b).


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

The Bennett mechanism's $x$ axes all intersect, so the s parameters are all zero.
$\square$ Again, the pair variables are the $\square$ 's.


## Another Symbolic Representation Example

The symbolic equation for the Bennett mechanism


$\square$ An additional condition is that

$$
\frac{a}{\sin \square}= \pm \frac{b}{\sin \square}
$$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Once a linkage has been described by a symbolic equation, the coordinate transformation from one link's coordinate system to the next may be represented by a $4 \times 4$ matrix involving the four parameters a, $\bar{\square}, \square$, and s.

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ This coordinate transformation from system $k+1$ to system $k$ can be shown to be in the form:


## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Multiplying together matrices of this form in the right order can take you from one coordinate system to the next as you go around the loops of a closed-loop kinematic chain.


## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ For instance, to go from the coordinate system on link 3 to the coordinate system on link 1 you would perform the matrix multiplication $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ For the four-link examples given earlier (planar and spherical four revolutes or the Bennett mechanism), $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ would take you around the closed loop of the mechanism and back to the starting number one coordinate system.

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Since you are back to the original \#1 coordinate system, the product of these transformation matrices must be the identity matrix.

$$
I=\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}=I
$$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis



## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ All the remaining displacement relations relating the pair variables can then be extracted from this matrix equation:

$$
A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}=I
$$

## Example: Analysis of the Hooke Joint



## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ To reduce the number of matrix products involved, both sides of this equation can be multiplied by the inverse matrix $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}^{-1} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}=A_{1}^{-1} I=A_{1}^{-1} \\
A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}=A_{1}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ The inverse matrix $A_{1}{ }^{-1}$ in this case can be obtained by simply interchanging rows and columns in $A_{1}$ and is simply:

$A_{1}^{\square_{1}}=$| $\square$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | $\cos \square_{1}$ | $\sin \square_{1}$ | 0 |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | $\square \cos \square_{1} \sin \square_{1}$ | $\cos \square_{1} \cos \square_{1}$ | $\sin \square_{1}[$ |
| $(\square)$ | $\sin \square_{1} \sin \square_{1}$ | $\square \sin \square_{1} \cos \square_{1}$ | $\cos \square_{1}[$ |

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ After carrying out the matrix products we get:


## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

## $\square$ Corresponding elements in both matrices must be equal.



## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Suppose that $\square_{1}$ is the input variable and is known.
$\square$ We seek relations giving $\square_{2}, \square_{3}$, and $\square_{4}$ in terms of $\square_{1}$.


## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Equating the ratios of these sets of elements gives $\square_{2}$ in terms of $\square_{1}$ :


## $\tan \nabla_{2}=\cos \nabla_{1} \cot \square_{1}$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ Equating this pair of elements gives $\square_{3}$ in terms of $\square_{1}$ :


## $\cos \square_{3}=\sin Z_{1} \cos \square_{1}$

## Carrying out the Matrix Method of Analysis

$\square$ And equating the ratios of this pair of elements gives $\square_{4}$ in terms of $\square_{1}$ :


## Hartenberg-Denavit Homework

[. The illustration shows an RRCRC saw drive mechanism reproduced from an article in Machine Design Magazine for Sept 24, 1964.


## Hartenberg-Denavit Homework:

[] Choose an appropriate coordinate system, take note of special proportions (such as $90^{\circ}$ angles, zero lengths, etc. and derive the output versus input relation from the Hartenberg-Denavit matrix equation
$\left[A_{3}\right]\left[A_{4}\right]\left[A_{3}\right]\left[A_{2}\right]\left[A_{1}\right]=[1]$


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

 Homework: (based on shigley \& Uicker)[] The Hooke joint consists of two yokes (which are the driving and driven members) and a cross which is the connecting link.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (based on Shigley \& Uicker)

$\square$ One disadvantage of this joint is that the velocity ratio fluctuates during rotation.
$\square$ This is a polar angular velocity diagram for one complete rotation of the driver and driven links of the joint.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (based on shigiley \& Uicker)

$\square$ Since the driver is assumed to have a constant angular velocity, its polar diagram is a circle.
$\square$ The diagram for the output is an ellipse which crosses the driver circle at four places.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (based on Shigley \& Uickern)

$\square$ This means there are four instants during each rotation when the angular velocities of the two shafts are equal.
$\square$ The rest of the time, the output rotates faster or slower.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

 Homework: (based on shigley \& Uicker)Think of the drive shaft as having an inertia load at each end- the flywheel and engine spinning at constant speed at one end and the weight of the car running at high speed at the other end.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

 Homework: (based on Shigigley \& Uicherr)If a single universal joint were used in a car either the speed of the engine or the speed of the car would need to vary during each rotation of the drive sheft.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (based on Shigiley \& Uicker)

[ Both inertias resist this so the tires would need to slip and the parts of the power transmission would be highly stressed.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit Offeviosis (based on shigley \& Uicker)

To attain a uniform angular velocity ratio, actual drive shafts use a pair of universal joints arranged in one of these two configurations.
This causes the speed fluctuations to cancel and a uniform velocity ratio from input to output.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (pased on Shigigley \& Uicker)

$\square$ Using the Hartenberg-Denavit method, develop an expression for the ratio of $\square_{2 /} \square_{4}$ in terms of the angle of shaft misalignment.


## More Hartenberg-Denavit Homework: (based on Shigley \& Uicker)

[]. Then use that expression to develop a table showing the ratio of the output angular velocity to the input angular velocity for a single universal joint at running at shaft misalignments of $0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$, and $45^{\circ}$.
[ (Data can be plotted at $15^{\circ}$ increments if you like over just $90^{\circ}$ rotation of the input shaft.)


## More Hartenberg-Denavit

## Homework: (based on Shigley \& Uicker)

[. If the differences between the maximum and minimum ratios is expressed as a percent and plotted against the shaft angle a curve such as this one will result:


