

# { CSCI 633I · 433I | Lecture 5 }

## Cryptography

Hoeteck Wee · [hoeteck@gwu.edu](mailto:hoeteck@gwu.edu)

<http://tinyurl.com/cryptogw/>

- ▶ Evaluation:

10% In-Class/Piazza, 20% Final Presentation / Project

30% Homework, 40% Final (Apr 25)

- ▶ Homework 3 will be out by Sun (Feb 19)

due Feb 29 (Wed) in class

## Message Integrity

Example. Bank gets message “Transfer one thousand dollars from account A to B”

- Is message authentic? i.e. really from owner of account A?
- If so, were the details tampered with? Intended amount? Intended recipient?

Note. message not a secret, privacy not an issue

# Message Integrity

Goal. Protect **Integrity** of communication

Trust Model. Three entities, sender, receiver. Allow tampering on channel

Who is the adversary? Active adversary

Powers? Can change messages exchanged between sender and receiver

What constitutes a break? Change message without being detected

- ▶ orthogonal to secrecy, relevant regardless whether encryption is applied

One-Time Pad. example of perfect cipher

- ▶  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^\ell$ ; Gen outputs a random  $\ell$ -bit string  $k$
- ▶  $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k \oplus m$  (bit-wise XOR)
- ▶ attack: adversary flips the first bit; Q. what happens to message?
- ▶ error-detection codes are insufficient

# Message Authentication Codes

setting.

- ▶ both users generate and share a secret key  $k$  in advance
- runs **key generation** algorithm  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$
- ▶ to send message  $m$ , sender computes a MAC tag  $t$  and sends  $(m, t)$
- runs **tag generation** algorithm  $t \leftarrow \text{Mac}_k(m)$
- ▶ upon receiving  $(m, t)$ , receiver verifies whether  $t$  is a valid tag on  $m$
- runs **verification** algorithm  $\text{Vrfy}(m, t) \in \{0, 1\}$  ( 1 being valid )

*syntax.* message authentication code (MAC) is a triple of randomized algorithms  
(Gen, Mac, Vrfy)

- ▶ correctness. for every key  $k$  output by  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ , and every  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we have  $\text{Vrfy}_k(m, \text{Mac}_k(m)) = 1$ .

## Message Authentication Codes

Security Definition. hard to generate a valid tag on any “new” message that was not previously sent – **existentially unforgeable** under **adaptive chosen-message attack**

Q. adversary's power?

- observe communication  $\Rightarrow$  can see all messages sent by parties + MAC tags
- influence content of messages?

adversary gets access to MAC oracle  $\text{Mac}_k(\cdot)$

Q. what constitutes a break?

- adversary outputs message + valid tag  $(m, t)$ 
  - i.e. it can fool honest party into thinking  $(m, t)$  originates from legitimate party
- “new” message, different from queries to MAC oracle
  - i.e. replay attack not a “break” (still a security concern)

## Message Authentication Codes

Security Definition. hard to generate a valid tag on any “new” message that was not previously sent – **existentially unforgeable** under **adaptive chosen-message attack**

1. Generate random key  $k$  using  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$
2. Adversary given  $1^n$  and oracle access to  $\text{Mac}_k(\cdot)$ , eventually outputs  $(m, t)$ .  
Let  $Q$  = set of queries
3. Wins if  $\text{Vrfy}_k(m, t) = 1$  and  $m \notin Q$ .

definition.  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure if for all adversaries running in time  $t$ , winning probability bounded by  $\epsilon$ .

- too strong? restrict to “legitimate messages”?
- replay attacks, e.g. “transfer \$1,000 to my account” times 10?  
use time stamps (require clock synchronization)

next. How to build MACs from PRF

## Pseudorandom Functions (PRF)

Pseudorandom Functions (PRF) defined over  $(K, X, Y)$ :

$$F : K \times X \rightarrow Y \quad (\text{key} \times \text{input} \rightarrow \text{output})$$

► “efficient” algorithm to evaluate  $F(k, x)$

example. AES :  $\{0, 1\}^{128} \times \{0, 1\}^{128} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{128}$

intuition. gives us many one-time pads,  $F(k, 0), F(k, 1), F(k, 2), F(k, 3), \dots$

1. ( challenge bit ) random bit  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ .
2. ( challenge function ) if  $b = 1$ ,  $f$  is truly random function from  $X$  to  $Y$ ;  
if  $b = 0$ ,  $f$  is  $F(k, \cdot)$  for a random  $k$
3.  $\mathcal{A}$  gets  $f(0), f(1), f(2), \dots$
4.  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $b'$  and wins if  $b' = b$

definition.  $F : K \times X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure PRF if for all adversaries  $\mathcal{A}$  running in time  $t$ , winning probability bounded by  $1/2 + \epsilon$



## Message Authentication Codes from PRFs

Security Definition. hard to generate a valid tag on any “new” message that was not previously sent – **existentially unforgeable** under **adaptive chosen-message attack**

1.  $\text{Gen}$  : choose random  $k \leftarrow K$
2.  $\text{Mac}_k(m)$  : output tag  $F(k, m)$
3.  $\text{Vrfy}_k(m, t)$  : output 1 iff  $t = F(k, m)$

**Q.** if  $F$  is truly random function, what is the probability of winning?