# $\left\{ \operatorname{Csc} 80030 \mid \operatorname{Lecture} 8 \right\}$

## PROBABILISTIC ANALYSIS & RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS

Hoeteck Wee · hoeteck@cs.qc.edu

## part 0

- ► HOMEWORK: HW4 due next week, HW5 out by sun
- ▶ PROGRAMMING ASSIGNMENT: due tonight
- ► TODAY: random-walk algorithms

PART 1 undirected s-t connectivity

- ► S-T CONNECTIVITY: Given a directed graph *G* and two vertices *s* and *t*, is there a path from *s* to *t* in *G*?
- can be solved in linear time and space using BFS or DFS.
- ► UNDIRECTED S-T CONNECTIVITY (USTCON): Given an undirected graph *G* and two vertices *s* and *t*, is there a path from *s* to *t* in *G*?
- ▶ TODAY: randomized algorithm for USTCON running in space  $O(\log n)$ .

#### USTCON algorithm

#### USTCON via random walks

Input: (G, s, t), where G = (V, E) has *n* vertices

- 1. Let v = s. Repeat up to  $n^4$  times:
  - 1.1 If v = t, halt and accept.
  - 1.2 Else update v to be a random neighbor of v.
- 2. Reject (if we haven't visited *t* yet).
- space  $O(\log n)$  to store current vertex v and a counter for # steps
- never accepts when there isn't a path from s to t.
- if G is a connected d-regular graph, then a random walk of length  $\tilde{O}(d^2n^3)$  from s will hit t w.h.p.
- replace each vertex v with a cycle of length deg(v).

- ▶ Define *random-walk matrix* of an *n*-vertex digraph *G* to be the *n* × *n* matrix *M* where *M<sub>i,j</sub>* is the prob. of going from vertex *i* to *j* in a single step.
- If G is d-regular, then M is  $\frac{1}{d}$  times adjacency matrix of G.
- For every probability distribution π ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup> on vertices of G, the vector πM is the probability distribution obtained after taking one step of the random walk.
- Start with  $\pi$  concentrated at vertex *s*, interested in  $\pi M^k$  after taking *k* steps on the graph.
- ► We will show that random walk converges to the uniform distribution  $u = (\frac{1}{n} \quad \frac{1}{n} \quad \dots \quad \frac{1}{n})$  after poly(*n*) steps.

#### Basic linear algebra

- A non-zero vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an *eigenvector* of a  $n \times n$  matrix M if  $vM = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the corresponding *eigenvalue*.
- EXAMPLE. the graph  $K_3$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$v_1 = (\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}), \quad \lambda_1 = 1;$$
$$v_2 = (1 & -1 & 0), \quad \lambda_2 = -1/2;$$
$$v_3 = (1 & 0 & -1), \quad \lambda_3 = -1/2$$

#### Theorem

If *M* is a symmetric  $n \times n$  real matrix, then *M* has *n* eigenvectors which form an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Henceforth, M is random-walk matrix for a d-regular undirected graph.

- *M* is symmetric, with eigenvectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ .
- Since uM = u,  $v_1 = u$  is an eigenvector of eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$ .
- Assume  $|\lambda_2| \ge |\lambda_3| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n|$ .
- Note  $\langle \pi u, u \rangle = 0$ , so we can write  $\pi = u + c_2 v_2 + \cdots + c_n v_n$ .
- After *k* steps, the distribution is  $\pi M^k = u + \lambda_2^k c_2 v_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^k c_n v_n$ , so  $\|\pi M^k u\| \le |\lambda_2|^k \|\pi u\| \le |\lambda_2|^k$ .

Will show on homework:

- ► All eigenvalues of *M* have absolute value at most 1.
- ► If *G* is connected and non-bibartite, then all eigenvalues (other than 1) have absolute value at most  $1 \Omega(1/(dn)^2)$ .

From before,

- $\|\pi M^k u\| \le 2(1 \Omega(1/(dn)^2))^k$ .
- Take  $k = O(d^2n^2 \log n)$ , every entry of  $\pi M^k$  is at least 1/2n.
- Take O(n) such walks, we will hit vertex t with probability at least 1/2.

## PART 2 | randomized 2-SAT

### Satisfiability problems

general satisfiability problem (SAT):

▶ input: Boolean formula given as AND of OR's: e.g.

 $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 \lor \bar{x_2} \lor x_4) \land (\bar{x_1} \lor \bar{x_3}) \land (x_3 \lor x_4)$ 

- ▶ *n* variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ ; literals e.g.  $x_1, \overline{x_2}$ ; *m* clauses e.g.  $(x_3 \lor x_4)$
- assignment e.g. (T, F, F, T)
- $\phi$  is satisfiable if there exists an assignment for which  $\phi$  evaluates to T
- SAT problem: decide if the input  $\phi$  is satisfiable
- ► 2-satisfiability problem (2-SAT):
  - restricted version of SAT where each clause contains exactly 2 literals
  - $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 \lor \bar{x_2}) \land (\bar{x_1} \lor \bar{x_3}) \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (x_4 \lor \bar{x_3}) \land (x_4 \lor \bar{x_1})$

## 2-satisfiability algorithm RAND2SAT

- 1. Start with an arbitrary assignment
- 2. Repeat up to  $2mn^2$  times, terminating if all clauses are satisfied:
  - 2.1 Choose an arbitrary (first) clause that is not satisfied
  - 2.2 Choose uniformly at random one of the literals in the clause and switch the value of the variable
- 3. If a satisfying assignment has been found, return it
- 4. Otherwise, return "unsatisfiable"

#### 2-satisfiability algorithm: example

- $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 \lor \bar{x_2}) \land (\bar{x_1} \lor \bar{x_3}) \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (x_4 \lor \bar{x_3}) \land (x_4 \lor \bar{x_1})$ 
  - 1. assignment  $A_0 = (F, T, T, F)$ unsatisfied clauses:  $x_1 \lor \bar{x_2}, x_4 \lor \bar{x_3}$ switch  $x_1$  in  $C_0 = x_1 \lor \bar{x_2}$
  - 2. assignment  $A_1 = (T, T, T, F)$

unsatisfied clauses:  $\bar{x_1} \lor \bar{x_3}$ ,  $x_4 \lor \bar{x_3}$ ,  $x_4 \lor \bar{x_1}$ 

switch  $x_3$  in  $C_1 = \bar{x_1} \lor \bar{x_3}$ 

- assignment A<sub>2</sub> = (T, T, F, F) unsatisfied clauses: x<sub>4</sub> ∨ x̄<sub>1</sub> switch x<sub>4</sub> in C<sub>2</sub> = x<sub>4</sub> ∨ x̄<sub>1</sub>
- 4. assignment  $A_3 = (T, T, F, T)$ unsatisfied clauses: none

### 2-satisfiability algorithm: analysis

- suppose  $\phi$  is satisfiable with an assignment *S* 
  - e.g.  $\phi$  as before, S is (T, T, F, T)
- let  $X_i$  be the number of variables with same values in  $A_i$  and S
  - e.g.  $X_0 = 1, X_1 = 2, X_2 = 3, X_3 = 4$
  - if  $X_i = n$ , then algorithm terminates with satisfying assignment
  - ▶ how long does it take for *X<sub>i</sub>* to reach *n*?
- compute transition probabilities for  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots$ 
  - if we pick a variable where  $A_i$  and S agree,  $X_{i+1} = X_i 1$
  - if we pick a variable where  $A_i$  and S disagree,  $X_{i+1} = X_i + 1$

#### 2-satisfiability algorithm: analysis

- if  $X_i = 0$ , then  $\Pr[X_{i+1} = 1 \mid X_i = 0] = 1$
- if  $X_i = j$ , where  $1 \le j \le n 1$ 
  - ► A<sub>i</sub> and S disagree on at least one variable in clause C<sub>i</sub>
  - Pr[pick a variable where  $A_i$  and S disagree]  $\geq 1/2$

$$\Pr[X_{i+1} = j+1 \mid X_i = j] \ge 1/2$$
$$\Pr[X_{i+1} = j-1 \mid X_i = j] \le 1/2$$

• consider Markov chain  $Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  with states  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ 

$$Y_0 = X_0$$

$$\Pr[Y_{i+1} = 1 | Y_i = 0] = 1$$

$$\Pr[Y_{i+1} = j + 1 | Y_i = j] = 1/2$$

$$\Pr[Y_{i+1} = j - 1 | Y_i = j] = 1/2$$

- expected time to reach n in Y is  $\geq$  expected time to reach n in X
- ▶ let *h<sub>j</sub>* be expected # of steps it takes to reach *n* from *j*

### 2-satisfiability algorithm: analysis

#### • computing $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_n$

- base case:  $h_n = 0$  and  $h_0 = h_1 + 1$
- for  $1 \le j \le n-1$ :  $h_j = \frac{1}{2}(h_{j-1}+1) + \frac{1}{2}(h_{j+1}+1)$
- ► solving n + 1 equations in n + 1 unknowns, we obtain  $h_j = n^2 - j^2$  and  $h_0 = n^2$
- expected time to reach n in X is at most  $n^2$

#### RAND2SAT

- if  $\phi$  is unsatisfiable, always returns the right answer "unsatisfiable"
- if  $\phi$  is satisfiable, returns a satisfying assignment with prob  $\geq 1 2^{-m}$

THE END next, ...