

George Washington University  
Department of Computer Science

CS160-10  
Theory of Computer Translators  
Spring 2008

Solution to Exam 1  
2/1/2008

1. (10 pts) Consider the following Grammar G:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow Xy \mid YZ \\ X &\rightarrow (X) \mid x \\ Y &\rightarrow yYz \mid \epsilon \\ Z &\rightarrow zXz \mid Y \end{aligned}$$

(a) Construct FIRST and FOLLOW sets of each non-terminal symbol.

	First	Follow
<i>S</i>	(, <i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i> , $\epsilon$	\$
<i>X</i>	(, <i>x</i>	<i>y</i> , <i>z</i> , )
<i>Y</i>	<i>y</i> , $\epsilon$	<i>y</i> , <i>z</i> , \$
<i>Z</i>	<i>y</i> , <i>z</i> , $\epsilon$	\$

(b) Fill in the *LL*(1) parsing table. Do not modify the grammar. If there are conflicts, just write both entries in the table.

	<i>Input symbol</i>					
	(	)	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	\$
<i>S</i>	<i>S</i> → <i>Xy</i>		<i>S</i> → <i>Xy</i>	<i>S</i> → <i>YZ</i>	<i>S</i> → <i>YZ</i>	<i>S</i> → <i>YZ</i>
<i>X</i>	<i>X</i> → ( <i>x</i> )		<i>X</i> → <i>x</i>			
<i>Y</i>				<i>Y</i> → <i>yYz</i> , <i>Y</i> → $\epsilon$	<i>Y</i> → $\epsilon$	<i>Y</i> → $\epsilon$
<i>Z</i>				<i>Z</i> → <i>y</i>	<i>Z</i> → <i>zXz</i>	<i>Z</i> → <i>Y</i>

2. (10 pts.) Consider the following grammar *G*.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aS \mid Ab \\ A &\rightarrow XYZ \mid \epsilon \\ X &\rightarrow cS \mid \epsilon \\ Y &\rightarrow dS \mid \epsilon \\ Z &\rightarrow eS \end{aligned}$$

(a) Give a left-most derivation of the string  $aebb$ .

$$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aAb \Rightarrow aXYZb \Rightarrow aYZb \Rightarrow aZb \Rightarrow aeSb \Rightarrow aeAbb \Rightarrow aebb$$

(b) Explain why it is that if we add the production  $X \rightarrow bS$ , the grammar is no longer  $LL(1)$ . Do NOT try to construct a  $LL(1)$  parsing table for the new grammar.

**Note:** We would then have  $b \in First(A)$  making the table entry  $M[A, b]$  filled with " $A \rightarrow XYZ$ ". As  $A \xRightarrow{*} \epsilon$  and  $b \in Follow(A)$ , the table entry  $M[A, b]$  will also have to be filled with " $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ ". Therefore, the new grammar cannot be  $LL(1)$ .

3. (5 pts) Consider a grammar  $G$  which has two production rules:  $A \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  can derive the empty string and  $\beta$  can derive a string  $b\beta'$  such that  $b$  is in  $FOLLOW(A)$ . Can  $G$  be an  $LL(1)$  grammar? Explain clearly.

**Note:** Suppose  $\beta \xRightarrow{*} \epsilon$  and  $\alpha \xRightarrow{*} a\alpha'$ , where  $a \in FOLLOW(A)$ . Also, assume that  $\gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} a\gamma'_2$ . We then have two possibilities: (i)  $S \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 A \gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 \alpha \gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 a \alpha' \gamma_2$ , and (ii)  $S \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 A \gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 \beta \gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \xRightarrow{*} \gamma_1 a \gamma'_2$ . Hence, after taking care of the input tokens corresponding to  $\gamma_1$ , the parser cannot make a clear choice between the two productions  $A \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $A \rightarrow \beta$ .