

Homework Assignment 1

Due Jan. 29

Please answer each of the below questions. Remember, you may work together, but everyone MUST write up and understand their own solutions. Solutions that appear copied will be considered a violation of the academic honesty code. Also, you must list all people you work with.

1. **Modular Arithmetic:** Please answer the following questions by hand, show your work

- (a) Compute $[-8 \bmod 16]$
- (b) Compute $[1234567890 + 9876543210 \bmod 5]$
- (c) Is the following statement true: if $2x = 2y \bmod 6$, then $x = y \bmod 6$? Explain why, or give a counterexample.
- (d) Is the following statement true: if $x + 7 = y + 7 \bmod 63$, then $x = y \bmod 63$? Explain why, or give a counterexample.
- (e) Compute $[5^{-1} \bmod 8]$.
- (f) Compute $[999^{-1} \bmod 1000]$.

2. **Shamir Sharing:**

Consider an $(n = 5, t = 3)$ -Shamir secret-sharing scheme over \mathbb{Z}_{17} . Suppose P_1 receives share $(x = 1, y = 2)$, P_2 receives share $(2, 1)$, and P_3 receives share $(3, 14)$. Calculate the corresponding Lagrange interpolating polynomial and calculate the secret. (Show your work.)

3. **Additive Sharing:**

Consider a $(2, 2)$ -additive secret-sharing scheme over \mathbb{Z}_5 . Suppose that the last bit of each party's share leaks to the adversary (i.e., the adversary learns the least significant bit of s_1 and s_2 , the shares held by P_1 and P_2). Explain what the adversary knows about the secret s .

4. **WRK18:**

In the maliciously secure version of GRW18 [1] as I described in class, it is critical that the parties perform the cross-check on every wire. That is, they need to check that for every circuit wire w , $m_w^{(1)} + \lambda_w^{(2)} = m_w^{(2)} + \lambda_w^{(1)}$ where $\lambda_w^{(i)}$ is a share of the wire mask from execution i of the semi-honest protocol, and $m_w^{(i)}$ is the wire mask from the i th execution (recall that we run the semi-honest protocol twice with different parties).

Now, suppose that to save communication, the parties try to batch their cross check. Specifically, they compute $\Lambda^{(1)} = \sum_{w \in C} \lambda_w^{(1)}$ and $M^{(1)} = \sum_{w \in C} m_w^{(1)}$ ($\Lambda^{(2)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ are defined similarly for the second execution). Then, the parties do a single batched cross-check to check that $\Lambda^{(1)} + M^{(2)} = \Lambda^{(2)} + M^{(1)}$. Describe an attack that a malicious adversary corrupting one of the parties can do on this modified protocol.

References

- [1] S. Dov Gordon, Samuel Ranellucci, and Xiao Wang. Secure computation with low communication from cross-checking. In Thomas Peyrin and Steven D. Galbraith, editors, *Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT 2018 - 24th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, December 2-6, 2018, Proceedings, Part III*, volume 11274 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 59–85. Springer, 2018.