



Information Assurance in Practice: Information Security in Small Businesses

Julie J. C. H. Ryan, D.Sc.

Assistant Professor

1

Engineering Management and Systems Engineering Department School of Engineering and Applied Science The George Washington University



Businesses & InfoSec



- Business Information Security Experiences, Practices
 - Meta-analysis of 14 large surveys:
 - About half of respondents have security policies
 - About half have experienced security breaches
 - About 12 % have been hacked
 - About half have had problems with insiders
 - Of those with \$\$ loss, only 37% can quantify amount
 - Viruses, theft, and component failure are big concerns
 - About half have business continuity plans
- Questions for Research:
 - 1: How do small businesses match up?
 - 2: Does having connectivity make a difference?



Why Small Businesses?



- A lot easier to research than huge businesses
 - One and only one response from each business
- Small businesses in the US:
 - Are 99 % of all employers
 - Employ 53 % of all workers
 - Employ 38 % of private sector high tech workers
 - Account for 51 % of private sector output
- Most importantly:
 - Small businesses account for 55 % of innovations and register more patents
 - And occasionally they grow up to take over the world
 - AOL, Microsoft

from: SBA Office of Advocacy http://www.sba.gov/advo/



Policies







Security Breaches







Financial Losses







Outsiders







Insiders







Concerns



53% of small businesses think viruses are of extreme of high concern

36.1 % think that power failure is of extreme or high concern

32.2 % think that data theft is of high or extreme concern

Survey	Top Five Security C	oncerns			1
E&Y95	Network failure	Software error	Viruses	Hardware failure	Stolen data
E&Y98	Unauthorized users access violation	Authorized user access violation	Contract worker access violation	Former employee access violation	Competitors
BISS98	Power failure	User error	LAN failure	Viruses	Theft
CSI98	Denial of Service attack	System penetration from outside	Theft of proprietary data	Financial fraud	Sabotage
PWC98	Viruses	Loss of information	Loss of integrity	Denial of Service	Software manipulation
CS199	Insider abuse	Viruses	Laptop theft	Denial of service attacks	Sabotage
Ebiz99	Viruses	E-mail incidents	Spam 🤇	Power failure	Hoaxes, jokes, pranks
ISM99	Viruses	Employee access abuse	Unauthorized outsider	Theft or destruction of computer resource	Loss of proprietary data







Management Tools		S	Percentages		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Data Recovery Procedures	83	126	39.7%	60.3%	
Information Security Policy	64	145	30.6%	69.4%	
Computer Use & Misuse Policy	52	157	24.9%	75.1%	
Information Security Procedures	48	161	23.0%	77.0%	
Business Continuity Plan	45	164	21.5%	78.5%	
Proprietary Data Use & Misuse Policy	38	171	18.2%	81.8%	
Communications Use & Misuse Policy	29	180	13.9%	86.1%	
Information Sensitivity Levels or Coding	28	181	13.4%	86.6%	
Computer Emergency Response Plan	28	181	13.4%	86.6%	
Data Destruction Procedures	27	182	12.9%	87.1%	
Computer Emergency Response Team	15	194	7.2%	92.8%	
Media Destruction Procedures	14	195	6.7%	93.3%	



Technology Use



Technology Tools					
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Anti-Virus Software	182	27	87.1%	12.9%	
Data Backup System	157	52	75.1%	24.9%	
System Access Control	152	57	72.7%	27.3%	
Power Surge Protectors	147	62	70.3%	29.7%	
Redundant Systems	95	114	45.5%	54.5%	Loss than
Shredders	93	116	44.5%	55.5%	
Data Segregation	60	149	28.7%	71.3%	50% use
Firewalls	54	155	25.8%	74.2%	
Encryption	53	156	25.4%	74.6%	
Intrusion Detection Systems	47	162	22.5%	77.5%	Loss than
System Activity Monitor	33	176	15.8%	84.2%	
Facility Access Control	30	179	14.4%	85.6%	25% use
Security Evaluation System	24	185	11.5%	88.5%	
Dial Back Modem	21	188	10.0%	90.0%	
Media Degaussers	7	202	3.3%	96.7%	



Question



- Does having connectivity make a difference???
 - In concern for information security?
 - In use of written policies?
 - In information security experiences?
 - Information security breach, financial loss, insider problems, outsiders
 - Use of business continuity plans?
 - In use of technologies?
- Types of connectivity considered:
 - Internet access
 - Web presence
 - E-commerce participation



Concerns



- Internet connectivity
 - Related to only one type of concern: viruses
 - Less likely to indicate low or no concern, more likely to indicate moderate concern, and equally likely to indicate high or extreme concern
- Web presence
 - More likely to be extremely or highly concerned in two areas:
 - Outsider access abuse (41.7% vs. 26.6%)
 - Data Availability (59.4% vs. 42.2%)
- E-Commerce
 - More likely to be extremely or highly concerned in two areas:
 - Transaction integrity (67.6% vs. 43.4%)
 - Data Availability (67.6% vs. 46.4%)



Written Policies



- Internet access alone doesn't make a difference
- Those with web presence more likely to have:
 - Computer Use & Misuse Policy 32% vs. 18.7%
 - Proprietary Data Use & Misuse Policy 24.7% vs. 12.5%
 - Communications Use & Misuse Policy 19.6% vs. 8.9%
- Those participating in E-commerce more likely to have:
 - Information Security Policy 54.1% vs. 25.6%
 - Computer Use & Misuse Policy 43% vs. 20.9%
 - Proprietary Data Use & Misuse Policy 35% vs. 14.5%
 - Communications Use & Misuse Policy 29.7% vs. 10.5%



Experiences



- Null hypotheses cannot be rejected in those areas, but:
 - For those with Web presence
 - Viruses (27.8% vs. 14.3%); secret data divulged (4.1% vs. 0%)
 - For those participating in E-commerce
 - Natural disaster (13.5% vs. 1.2%); secret data divulged (8.1% vs. 0.6%)

	Internet Access				Web Presence				E-Commerce			
Past 12 month:	chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P		chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P		chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P	
Info security incident	0.128	0.7201	0.7214		3.249	0.0715	0.0859		3.303	0.0692	0.0993	
Natural disaster	1.167	0.2800	0.5967		4.498	0.0339	0.0512		14.349	0.0002	0.0022	*
Fraud	0.013	0.9086	>.9999		0.043	0.8356	>.9999		0.155	0.6942	>.9999	
Insider access abuse	0.001	0.9745	>.9999		0.335	0.5625	0.7067		0.587	0.4435	0.6098	
Outsider access abuse	0.657	0.4176	>.9999		1.340	0.2470	0.3391		2.920	0.0875	0.1450	
Theft proprietary data	2.205	0.1376	0.2588		0.010	0.9186	>.9999		1.446	0.2292	0.3234	
Viruses	2.75E-04	0.9868	>.9999		5.840	0.0157	0.0171	*	0.075	0.7837	>.9999	
Secret data divulged	0.657	0.4176	>.9999		4.709	0.0300	0.0449	*	9.189	0.0024	0.0182	*
Data corruption, lost	1.058	0.3037	0.3798		3.559	0.0592	0.0669		0.023	0.8796	0.8443	
Reliability problems	2.002	0.1571	0.1926		0.722	0.3953	0.4727		1.642	0.2000	0.2458	
Theft computers	0.040	0.8410	0.5967		0.032	0.8581	>.9999		4.423	0.0355	0.0699	
Employees abuse l'net	0.002	0.9633	>.9999		0.078	0.7805	0.7893		0.143	0.7054	0.7177	
Financial loss	0.196	0.6578	>.9999		0.325	0.5685	0.6338		2.762	0.0965	0.1144	



Business Continuity Plans



- Null hypotheses of equality could not be rejected
 - Internet access
 - Chi Square p value = 0.7129
 - 21.1 % vs. 24.1 %
 - Web presence
 - Chi Square p value = 0.0844
 - 26.8 % vs. 17 %
 - E-Commerce
 - Chi Square p value = 0.1811
 - 29.7 % vs. 19.8 %



Use of Technology Tools



- Internet access alone not related to aggregate count
 - Unpaired t-test p value = 0.0692
- Web Presence, E-Commerce are related to technology use
 - Unpaired t-test p values = 0.0001 and 0.0007

	Int	ernet Acce	ess		Web Presence				E-Commerce			
	chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P		chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P		chi sq	chi sq p	Fisher's P	
Anti-Virus Software	9.823	0.0017	0.0046	*	7.294	0.0069	0.0072	*	2.256	0.1331	0.1790	
Data Backup System	0.682	0.4088	0.4873		8.587	0.0034	0.0038	*	0.255	0.6133	0.6805	
System Access Control	0.883	0.3475	0.3721		8.670	0.0032	0.0048	*	6.143	0.0132	0.0139	*
Power Surge Protectors	2.215	0.1367	0.1873		5.574	0.0182	0.0226	*	0.150	0.6986	0.8432	
Redundant Systems	0.769	0.3806	0.4263		12.930	0.0003	0.0005	*	6.832	0.0090	0.0108	*
Shredders	0.712	0.3988	0.4262		0.263	0.6081	0.6759		0.285	0.5934	0.7158	
Data Segregation	3.660	0.0557	0.0754		11.692	0.0006	0.0007	*	4.641	0.0312	0.0441	*
Firewalls	1.298	0.2545	0.3607		14.308	0.0002	0.0002	*	18.681	<.0001	<.0001	*
Encryption	1.172	0.2789	0.6306		4.166	0.0413	0.0553		3.699	0.0544	0.0627	
Intrusion Detection Systems	1.460	0.2269	0.3371		9.314	0.0023	0.0027	*	4.126	0.0422	0.0515	
System Activity Monitor	2.003	0.1570	0.2691		6.464	0.0110	0.0133	*	2.463	0.1166	0.1362	
Facility Access Control	0.440	0.5070	0.7750		5.778	0.0162	0.0183	*	5.873	0.0154	0.0349	*
Security Evaluation System	0.697	0.4038	0.5414		11.696	0.0006	0.0008	*	10.687	0.0011	0.0029	*
Dial Back Modem	0.523	0.4697	0.5037		0.119	0.7306	0.8196		1.893	0.1689	0.2228	
Media Degaussers	0.001	0.9745	>.9999		1.823	0.1770	0.2358		0.058	0.8096	>.9999	



Conclusions



- Mostly, the data in this research isn't surprising
 - Small businesses don't spend the money or time required to ensure holistic information security
 - Anecdotal evidence tends to indicate that small businesses aren't looking for problems and thus don't find (or see) them
- There are a few surprises
 - Little relationship between experiences, resource allocation
 - What does occur seems to be a matter of advertising, buzz, and fad rather than a reasoned approach to security
- More research is needed to understand causal relationships
 - The sociology of information security practice



Contact Information



Julie J.C.H. Ryan, D.Sc.

1776 G. Street NW #110 Washington DC, 20052 jjchryan@seas.gwu.edu

http://www.seas.gwu.edu/~infosec/







The George Washington University is an NSA Certified Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education and meets the Federal Training Standards for Information Systems Security Professionals (NSTISSI 4011). We offer Graduate Certificate, Master's, and Doctoral level education in Information Security Management for professionals from all educational backgrounds. GWU is located in the heart of Washington DC very near the White House and other government offices.