TOWARDS RISK MANAGEMENT WHEN FACED WITH POTENTIAL TRAFFIC INCREASES

Presentation by: J. Rene van Dorp



## THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

#### WASHINGTON, DC

GWU Personnel: Dr. J. Rene van Dorp VCU Personnel: Dr. Jason R. W. Merrick

**Puget Sound Harbor Safety Committee Presentation October 2012** 

#### UPDATED VTRA STUDY – STAKEHOLDER PROCESS

# SOME **OBSERVATION/COMMENTS** TO KICKOFF THE STAKEHOLDER **PROCESS/DISCUSSION**

# SOME OBVIOUS (?) OBSERVATIONS

The World is not Average, Neither is a Maritime Transportation System (MTS).

> Different Vessels go to Different Locations.

Each Location has a Different Traffic profile.

### SOME FOOD FOR THOUGHT

Keeping everything the same When Traffic Increases Risk Increases, unless Mitigated.

There is no Guarantee that Risk Increases due to Traffic Increases can be Fully Mitigated.

### **RISK MANAGEMENT CHALLENGE**

Design a Risk Management Plan By Location.

Risk does not typically disappear When mitigated locally but migrates.

## **RISK MANAGEMENT CHALLENGE**

Risk Mitigation at one Location Ought not results in an Increase in Risk elsewhere that is larger.

Faced with inevitable (?) traffic Increases how can one Manage Risk Increases that Cannot be mitigated?

### **RISK MANAGEMENT CHALLENGE**

#### EVENLY DISTRIBUTE FUTURE RISK?

i.e. allow for Risk Increases in Locations that currently have low risk of spills compared to those that are already higher?

#### EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF FUTURE RISK?

Allow for each location to have a similar percentage increase in Risk?.